



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|---------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/772,762 | 62 01/30/2001 | | Tetsuya Makino | 1100.65170 | 9437 |
| 24978 | 7590 | 10/03/2006 | • | EXAMINER | |
| GREER, B | | CRAIN | WU, XIAO MIN | | |
| 300 S WAC 25TH FLOO | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| CHICAGO, | IL 6060 | 16 | 2629 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 10/03/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------|---------------|
| 09/772,762 | MAKINO ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| XIAO M. WU | 2629 |

| | XIAO M. WU | 2629 | |
|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 21 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the same of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the same of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the same of | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | in the final rejection, wh g date of the final rejecti | ichever is later. In on. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). | E FIRST REPLY WAS F | ILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of e appeal. Since |
| AMENDMENTS | had adam to the state of the state of | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NO | , will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below); | ecause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei | | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. | 21 See attached Notice of Non-Co | mnliant Amendment | (DTOL 224) |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | mpilant Amendment | (FTOL-324). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>12 and 14</u> would be the non-allowable claim(s). | | ate, timely filed amen | dment canceling |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | il be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>12 and 14</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>11 and 13</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | t before or on the date of filing a Nord d sufficient reasons why the affidate | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is | ot be entered s necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fai | ls to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowar | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | • |
| | | Wind Wall | |
| | | XIAO M. WU Supervisory Patent Art Unit: 2629 | Examiner |